

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/746,778-Conf. #1420 First Named Applicant: Petter ERICSON

Examiner: K. Xiao Art Unit: 2629 Status of Application: Non-Final Office Action mailed May 1, 2008

**Tentative Participants:**

(1) Examiner Xiao (2) Catherine Voisinnet  
 (3) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_ Proposed Time: \_\_\_\_\_ (AM/PM)

**Type of Interview Requested:**

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>35 USC § 102</u>	<u>Claims 2-42 and 45-63</u>	<u>Anticipated by Marc Dymetman and Max Copperman</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

Claims are patentable over cited art for the reasons set forth in the concurrently filed Reply.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

**NOTE:**

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner/SPE Signature

Catherine M. Voisinnet  
 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

52,327  
 Registration Number, if applicable